Table 1. Longitudinal Evaluation of the PAH Patient*: ACCF/AHA 2009 Expert Consensus

	STABLE	UNSTABLE
	No increase in symptoms and/or	FC IV ^
	decompensation	6MWD < 300 m
	FC I/II^	Signs of RH failure
	6MWD > 400 m	RV enlargement / dysfunction
Clinical Course	No RH failure	RAP high; CI low
	RV size/function normal	BNP elevated or increasing
	RAP normal; CI normal	IV prostacyclin and/or
	BNP near normal/stable or	combination
	decreasing	treatment
	Oral therapy	
Frequency of evaluation	Q 3 to 6 mo+	Q 1 to 3 mo
Functional class assessment	Every clinic visit	Every clinic visit
6MWT	Every clinic visit	Every clinic visit
Echocardiogram#	Q 12 mo or center-dependent	Q 6 to 12 mo or center
		dependent
BNP¥	Center dependent	Center dependent
RHC	Clinical deterioration or center	Q 6 or 12 mo or clinical
	dependent	deterioration

^{*}For patients in the high-risk category, consider referral to a PH specialty center for consideration of advanced therapies, clinical trials, and/or lung transplantation

#Echocardiographic measurement of PASP is estimation only and it is strongly advised not to rely on its evaluation as the sole parameter to make therapeutic decisions

¥The utility of serial BNP levels to guide management in individual patients has not beeen established

BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; CI: cardiac index; 6MWD: six minute walk distance; PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension; Q: every; RAP: right atrial pressure; RHC: right heart catheterization; RV: right ventricle

(McLaughlin VV et al. JACC 2009;53:1573) Modified and reprinted with permission

[^]The frequency of follow-up evaluation for patients in FC III and/or 6MWD between 300 to 400 meters would depend on composite of detailed assessments on other clinical and objective characteristics listed. +For patients who remain stable on established therapy, follow-up assessments can be performed by referring physician(s) or PH specialty centers